1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6 7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE			
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,			
9	Plaintiff,	Case No. CR06-26-RSL		
10	v.	PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT		
11	MERHAWI HAGOS HAILE,	AND DETERMINATION AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE		
12	Defendant.	SUPERVISED RELEASE		
13	INTRODUCTION			
14	I conducted a hearing on alleged violations of supervised release in this case on November			
15	28, 2011. The defendant appeared pursuant to a warrant issued in this case. The United States			
16	was represented by Andrew Friedman, and defendant was represented by Lee A. Covell. Also			
17	present was U.S. Probation Officer Leona Nguyen. The proceedings were digitally recorded.			
18	SENTENCE AND PRIOR ACTION			
19	Defendant was sentenced on September 6, 2006, by the Honorable Robert S. Lasnik for			
20	Conspiracy to Distribute Cocaine Base. He received 84 months of detention and 5 years of			
21	supervised release. On April 8, 2008, his sentence was reduced to 68 months. Additionally, 5			
22	years of supervised release was imposed with all the standard conditions and the following			
23	special conditions: 1) drug aftercare; 2) search: 3) financial disclosure; 4) no association with an			
	PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND DETERMINATION AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE - 1			

1	known gang members; 5) mental health aftercare to include MRT; and 6) if deported, the			
2	defendant shall not enter the United States unless granted permission.			
3	PRESENTLY ALLEGED VIOLATIONS			
4	In a petition dated August 11, 1011, U.S. Probation Officer Jennifer Tien alleged that			
5	defendant violated the following conditions of supervised release:			
6	1.	Failing to work at a lawful occupation since April 2011, in violation of standard		
7		condition number 5.		
8	2.	Failing to report a change in residence 10 days prior to any change, in violation of		
9		standard condition number 6.		
10	3.	Failing to report a change in employment 10 days prior to any change, in violation		
11		of standard condition number 6.		
12	4.	Failing to report to his probation officer on June 8, 2011, and June 9, 2011, in		
13		violation of standard condition number 2.		
14	5.	Failing to report to his probation officer on July 29, 2011, in violation of standard		
15		condition number 2.		
16	6.	Failing to provide a valid urine sample as instructed by stalling, on August 3, 2011,		
17		in violation of the special condition of drug testing.		
18	FINDINGS FOLLOWING EVIDENTIARY HEARING			
19	Defendant admitted to violation number 3 and waived any hearing as to whether it occurred			
20	Defendant denied violation allegations 1, 2, 4, 5, and 6. The matter is set for an evidentiary and			
21	disposition hearing on December 13, 2011 at 8:30 a.m. before District Judge Robert S. Lasnik			
22	RECOMMENDED FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS			
23	Based upon the foregoing, I recommend the court find that defendant has violated the			
	PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND DETERMINATION AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE - 2			

1	conditions of his supervised release as alleged above, and conduct a disposition hearing		
2	DATED this 28th day of November, 2011.		
3	3		
4	4		
5	BRIAN A. TSUCHID United States Magistra		
6		8.	
7	7		
8	3		
9			
10			
11	1		
12	2		
13	3		
14	4		
15	5		
16	5		
17	7		
18	3		
19			
20			
21	1		
22	2		
23	3		

PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND DETERMINATION AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE - 3